# MINOR SOURCE OPERATING PERMIT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

### Essex Group Inc. 3400 Union Street Lafayette, Indiana 47904

(herein known as the Permittee) is hereby authorized to operate subject to the conditions contained herein, the emission units described in Section A (Source Summary) of this permit.

This permit is issued to the above mentioned company under the provisions of 326 IAC 2-1.1, 326 IAC 2-6.1 and 40 CFR 52.780, with conditions listed on the attached pages.

Operation Permit No.: MSOP 157-14304-00034

Issued by: Original Signed by Paul Dubenetzky Paul Dubenetzky, Branch Chief Office of Air Quality

Issuance Date: March 20, 2002 Expiration Date: March 20, 2007

Essex Group, Inc. Page 2 of 23 Lafayette, Indiana 157-14304-00034

Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION	ON A	SOURCE SUMMARY
	A.1	General Information [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(c)] [326 IAC 2-6.1-4(a)]
	A.2	Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary
SECTION	ON B	GENERAL CONDITIONS
	B.1	Permit No Defense [IC 13]
	B.2	Definitions
	B.3	Effective Date of the Permit [IC 13-15-5-3]
	B.4	Modification to Permit [326 IAC 2]
	B.5	Permit Term [326 IAC 2-6.1-7]
SECTION	ON C	SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS
	C.1	PSD Minor Source Status [326 IAC 2-2] [40 CFR 52.21]
	C.2	Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3]
	C.3	Permit Revision [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(3)] [326 IAC 2-6.1-6]
	C.4	Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(4)(B)] [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(4)]
	C.5	Transfer of Ownership or Operation [326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(3)]
	C.6	Permit Revocation [326 IAC 2-1-9]
	C.7	Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]
	C.8	Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]
	Testino	g Requirements
	C.9	Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]
	C.10	Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]
	C.11	Monitoring Methods [326 IAC 3]
	C.12	Compliance Response Plan - Preparation, Implementation, Records, and Reports
		[326 IAC 1-6]
	Record	I Keeping and Reporting Requirements
	C.13	Malfunctions Report [326 IAC 1-6-2]
	C.14	Monitoring Data Availability [326 IAC 2-6.1-2] [IC 13-14-13]
	C.15	General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-2]
	C.16	General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-6.1-2] [IC 13-14-1-13]
	C.17	Annual Notification [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(5)]
SECTIO	ON D.1	EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS
	Emissi	on Limitations and Standards
	D 4 4	Dedicate Matter (DM) 1000 IAO 0 0 01

### D.1.1 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-2-3]

- D.1.2 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-2-4]
- D.1.3 Particulate Matter [40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc] [326 IAC 12]

### Record Keeping and Reporting Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)] [326 IAC 2-8-16]

- D.1.4 General Provision Relating to NSPS [326 IAC 12-1][40 CFR 60, Subpart A]
- D.1.5 Record Keeping Requirements
- D.1.6 Reporting Requirements

### SECTION D.2 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

### **Emission Limitations and Standards**

- D.2.1 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- D.2.2 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)
- D.2.3 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Essex Group, Inc.

Lafayette, Indiana
Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

Page 3 of 23
157-14304-00034

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Annual Report Natural Gas Fired Boiler Certification Malfunction Report

Page 4 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### **SECTION A**

### **SOURCE SUMMARY**

This permit is based on information requested by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ). The information describing the source contained in conditions A.1 through A.2 is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions. However, the Permittee should be aware that a physical change or a change in the method of operation that may render this descriptive information obsolete or inaccurate may trigger requirements for the Permittee to obtain additional permits or seek modification of this permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2, or change other applicable requirements presented in the permit application.

### A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(c)] [326 IAC 2-6.1-4(a)]

The Permittee owns and operates a stationary wire and cable manufacturing facility.

Authorized Individual: Harold Karp

Source Address: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, Indiana 47903 Mailing Address: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, Indiana 47903

Phone Number: (219) 461-4000 SIC Code: 2822 and 3357 County Location: Tippecanoe

County Status: Attainment for all criteria pollutants

Source Status: Minor Source, under PSD

Minor Source, Section 112 of the Clean Air Act

### A.2 Emissions units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary

This stationary source is approved to operate the following emissions units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clayton Steam Boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 12.88 Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1996 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack C-S;
- (b) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clever Brooks boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 33.48 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1994 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack CB-S;
- (c) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clayton Steam Generator, with a maximum heat input capacity of 2.34 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1980 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack CS-S;
- One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Keeler Faber boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 25.75 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1972 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack KF-S;
- (e) Natural gas-fired space heaters with a total heat input capacity of 4.005 million Btu per hour;
- (f) Four (4) Banbury mixers, one with a maximum capacity of 2,000 pounds of rubber per hour, and three others each with a maximum capacity of 2,500 pounds of rubber per hour, referred to as BB1 through BB4. The particulate matter emissions from these mixers are controlled by two baghouses;
- (g) Two (2) Continuous Vulcanization Catenary Lines, referred to as CV-1 and CV-2, each with a maximum capacity of 762 pounds of rubber per hour;
- (h) Two (2) continuous vulcanization slope lines, referred to as CV-5 and CV-6, each with a maximum capacity of 762 pounds of rubber per hour;

Page 5 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (i) One (1) rod mill;
- (j) Two (2) wire mills;
- (k) One (1) compactor for crushing empty boxes and bags;
- (I) One (1) bag baler;
- (m) Four (4) parts washers;
- (n) One (1) finished goods warehouse;
- (o) Raw material storage areas;
- (p) Electronic beam facility;
- (q) Off-line packaging;
- (r) Waste accumulation area;
- (s) Pilot plant;
- (t) Wastewater evaporator;
- (u) Ancillary equipment;
- (v) Empty compound tanks; and
- (w) Process oil tanks.

Page 6 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### SECTION B GENERAL CONDITIONS

### B.1 Permit No Defense [IC 13]

This permit to operate does not relieve the Permittee of the responsibility to comply with the provisions of the Indiana Environmental Management Law (IC 13-11 through 13-20; 13-22 through 13-25; and 13-30), the Air Pollution Control Law (IC 13-17) and the rules promulgated thereunder, as well as other applicable local, state, and federal requirements.

### B.2 Definitions

Terms in this permit shall have the definition assigned to such terms in the referenced regulation. In the absence of definitions in the referenced regulation, any applicable definitions found in IC 13-11, 326 IAC 1-2, and 326 IAC 2-1.1-1 shall prevail.

### B.3 Effective Date of the Permit [IC13-15-5-3]

Pursuant to IC 13-15-5-3, this permit becomes effective upon its issuance.

### B.4 Modification to Permit [326 IAC 2]

All requirements and conditions of this operating permit shall remain in effect unless modified in a manner consistent with procedures established for modifications of operating permits pursuant to 326 IAC 2 (Permit Review Rules).

### B.5 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-6.1-7]

This permit is issued for a fixed term of five (5) years from the original date, as determined in accordance with IC 4-21.5-3-5(f) and IC 13-15-5-3. Subsequent revisions, modifications or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration.

Page 7 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### **SECTION C**

### **SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS**

### **Entire Source**

- C.1 Minor Source Status and Part 70 Source Status [326 IAC 2-2] [40 CFR 52.21] [326 IAC 2-7]
  - (a) The total source potential to emit of all criteria pollutants is less than 100 tons per year. Therefore the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7 and 326 IAC 2-2 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration) and 40 CFR 52.21 will not apply. The potential to emit a single HAP is less than 10 tons per year and the potential to emit is less than 25 tons per year for a combination of HAPs. Therefore, 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70) does not apply.
  - (b) Any change or modification which may increase potential to emit to 100 tons per year from this source for all criteria pollutants except particulate matter (PM), shall cause this source to be considered a major source under 326 IAC 2-7, and shall require approval from IDEM, OAQ prior to making the change. Also, any change or modification which may increase the potential to emit to 250 tons per year of PM shall cause this source to be considered a major source under PSD 326 IAC 2-2 and 40 CFR 52.21, and shall require approval from IDEM, OAQ prior to making the change. Also any change or modification which may increase the potential to emit of a single HAP to greater than ten tons per year and/or a combination of HAPs to be greater than 25 tons per year, shall cause this source to be subject to 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70). The source shall notify OAQ prior to making the changes.

### C.2 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3]

- (a) If required by specific condition(s) in Section D of this permit, the Permittee shall prepare and maintain Preventive Maintenance Plans (PMP) after issuance of this permit, including the following information on each emissions unit:
  - (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;
  - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions:
  - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.
- (b) The Permittee shall implement the Preventive Maintenance Plans as necessary to ensure that failure to implement the Preventive Maintenance Plan does not cause or contribute to a violation of any limitation on emissions or potential to emit.
- (c) PMP's shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ, upon request and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. IDEM, OAQ, may require the Permittee to revise its Preventive Maintenance Plan whenever lack of proper maintenance causes or contributes to any violation.

### C.3 Permit Revision [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(3)] [326 IAC 2-6.1-6]

- (a) The Permittee must comply with the requirements of 326 IAC 2-6.1-6 whenever the Permittee seeks to amend or modify this permit.
- (b) Any application requesting an amendment or modification of this permit shall be submitted to:

Page 8 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

> Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permits Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

Any such application should be certified by the "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1.

(c) The Permittee shall notify the OAQ within thirty (30) calendar days of implementing a notice-only change. [326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)]

### C.4 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(4)(B)] [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(4)]

Upon presentation of proper identification cards, credentials, and other documents as may be required by law, and subject to the Permittee's right under all applicable laws and regulations to assert that the information collected by the agency is confidential and entitled to be treated as such, the Permittee shall allow IDEM, OAQ, U.S. EPA, or an authorized representative to perform the following:

- (a) Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a permitted source is located, or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (b) Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under this title or the conditions of this permit or any operating permit revisions;
- (c) Inspect, at reasonable times, any processes, emissions units (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit or any operating permit revisions;
- (d) Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, substances or parameters for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements; and
- (e) Utilize any photographic, recording, testing, monitoring, or other equipment for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements.

### C.5 Transfer of Ownership or Operation [326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(3)]

Pursuant to [326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(3)]:

- (a) In the event that ownership of this source is changed, the Permittee shall notify IDEM, OAQ, Permits Branch, within thirty (30) days of the change.
- (b) The written notification shall be sufficient to transfer the permit to the new owner by an notice-only change pursuant to 326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(3).
- (c) IDEM, OAQ, shall issue a revised permit.

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by the "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1.

### C.6 Permit Revocation [326 IAC 2-1-9]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-1-9(a)(Revocation of Permits), this permit to operate may be revoked for any of the following causes:

- (a) Violation of any conditions of this permit.
- (b) Failure to disclose all the relevant facts, or misrepresentation in obtaining this permit.

Page 9 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (c) Changes in regulatory requirements that mandate either a temporary or permanent reduction of discharge of contaminants. However, the amendment of appropriate sections of this permit shall not require revocation of this permit.
- (d) Noncompliance with orders issued pursuant to 326 IAC 1-5 (Episode Alert Levels) to reduce emissions during an air pollution episode.
- (e) For any cause which establishes in the judgment of IDEM, the fact that continuance of this permit is not consistent with purposes of this article.

### C.7 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) in any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

### C.8 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]

The Permittee shall not allow fugitive dust to escape beyond the property line or boundaries of the property, right-of-way, or easement on which the source is located, in a manner that would violate 326 IAC 6-4 (Fugitive Dust Emissions).

### **Testing Requirements**

### C.9 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

(a) Compliance testing on new emissions units shall be conducted within 60 days after achieving maximum production rate, but no later than 180 days after initial start-up, if specified in Section D of this approval. All testing shall be performed according to the provisions of 326 IAC 3-6 (Source Sampling Procedures), except as provided elsewhere in this permit, utilizing any applicable procedures and analysis methods specified in 40 CFR 51, 40 CFR 60, 40 CFR 61, 40 CFR 63, 40 CFR 75, or other procedures approved by IDEM, OAQ.

A test protocol, except as provided elsewhere in this permit, shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Data Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

no later than thirty-five (35) days prior to the intended test date. The Permittee shall submit a notice of the actual test date to the above address so that it is received at least two weeks prior to the test date.

(b) All test reports must be received by IDEM, OAQ within forty-five (45) days after the completion of the testing. An extension may be granted by the IDEM, OAQ, if the source submits to IDEM, OAQ, a reasonable written explanation within five (5) days prior to the end of the initial forty-five (45) day period.

The documentation submitted by the Permittee does not require certification by the "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1.

Page 10 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### **Compliance Monitoring Requirements**

### C.10 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Compliance with applicable requirements shall be documented as required by this permit. The Permittee shall be responsible for installing any necessary equipment and initiating any required monitoring related to that equipment. All monitoring and record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented when operation begins.

### C.11 Monitoring Methods [326 IAC 3]

Any monitoring or testing required by Section D of this permit shall be performed according to the provisions of 326 IAC 3, 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, or other approved methods as specified in this permit.

- C.12 Compliance Response Plan Preparation, Implementation, Records, and Reports [326 IAC 1-6]
  - (a) The Permittee is required to prepare a Compliance Response Plan (CRP) for each compliance monitoring condition of this permit. A CRP shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request. The CRP shall be prepared within ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit by the Permittee, supplemented from time to time by the Permittee, maintained on site, and comprised of:
    - (1) Reasonable response steps that may be implemented in the event that a response step is needed pursuant to the requirements of Section D of this permit; and an expected timeframe for taking reasonable response steps.
    - (2) If, at any time, the Permittee takes reasonable response steps that are not set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan and the Permittee documents such response in accordance with subsection (e) below, the Permittee shall amend its Compliance Response Plan to include such response steps taken.
  - (b) For each compliance monitoring condition of this permit, reasonable response steps shall be taken when indicated by the provisions of that compliance monitoring condition as follows:
    - (1) Reasonable response steps shall be taken as set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan; or
    - (1) If none of the reasonable response steps listed in the Compliance Response Plan is applicable or responsive to the excursion, the Permittee shall devise and implement additional response steps as expeditiously as practical. Taking such additional response steps shall not be considered a deviation from this permit so long as the Permittee documents such response steps in accordance with this condition.
    - (2) If the Permittee determines that additional response steps would necessitate that the emissions unit or control device be shut down, the IDEM, OAQ shall be promptly notified of the expected date of the shut down, the status of the applicable compliance monitoring parameter with respect to normal, and the results of the actions taken up to the time of notification.
    - (3) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall constitute a violation of the permit.
  - (c) The Permittee is not required to take any further response steps for any of the following reasons:

Page 11 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (1) A false reading occurs due to the malfunction of the monitoring equipment and prompt action was taken to correct the monitoring equipment.
- (2) The Permittee has determined that the compliance monitoring parameters established in the permit conditions are technically inappropriate, has previously submitted a request for an administrative amendment to the permit, and such request has not been denied.
- (3) An automatic measurement was taken when the process was not operating.
- (4) The process has already returned or is returning to operating within "normal" parameters and no response steps are required.
- (d) When implementing reasonable steps in response to a compliance monitoring condition, if the Permittee determines that an exceedance of an emission limitation has occurred, the Permittee shall report such deviations pursuant to Section B-Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions.
- (e) The Permittee shall record all instances when response steps are taken. In the event of an emergency, the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7-16 (Emergency Provisions) requiring prompt corrective action to mitigate emissions shall prevail.
- (f) Except as otherwise provided by a rule or provided specifically in Section D, all monitoring as required in Section D shall be performed when the emission unit is operating, except for time necessary to perform quality assurance and maintenance activities.

### **Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements**

### C.13 Malfunctions Report [326 IAC 1-6-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 1-6-2 (Records; Notice of Malfunction):

- (a) A record of all malfunctions, including startups or shutdowns of any facility or emission control equipment, which result in violations of applicable air pollution control regulations or applicable emission limitations shall be kept and retained for a period of three (3) years and shall be made available to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality(OAQ) or appointed representative upon request.
- (b) When a malfunction of any facility or emission control equipment occurs which lasts more than one (1) hour, said condition shall be reported to OAQ, using the Malfunction Report Forms (2 pages). Notification shall be made by telephone or facsimile, as soon as practicable, but in no event later than four (4) daytime business hours after the beginning of said occurrence.
- (c) Failure to report a malfunction of any emission control equipment shall constitute a violation of 326 IAC 1-6, and any other applicable rules. Information of the scope and expected duration of the malfunction shall be provided, including the items specified in 326 IAC 1-6-2(a)(1) through (6).
- (d) Malfunction is defined as any sudden, unavoidable failure of any air pollution control equipment, process, or combustion or process equipment to operate in a normal and usual manner. [326 IAC 1-2-39]

Page 12 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### C.14 Monitoring Data Availability [326 IAC 2-6.1-2] [IC 13-14-1-13]

- (a) With the exception of performance tests conducted in accordance with Section C-Performance Testing, all observations, sampling, maintenance procedures, and record keeping, required as a condition of this permit shall be performed at all times the equipment is operating at normal representative conditions.
- (b) As an alternative to the observations, sampling, maintenance procedures, and record keeping of subsection (a) above, when the equipment listed in Section D of this permit is not operating, the Permittee shall either record the fact that the equipment is shut down or perform the observations, sampling, maintenance procedures, and record keeping that would otherwise be required by this permit.
- (c) If the equipment is operating but abnormal conditions prevail, additional observations and sampling should be taken with a record made of the nature of the abnormality.
- (d) If for reasons beyond its control, the operator fails to make required observations, sampling, maintenance procedures, or record keeping, reasons for this must be recorded.
- (e) At its discretion, IDEM may excuse such failure providing adequate justification is documented and such failures do not exceed five percent (5%) of the operating time in any quarter.
- (f) Temporary, unscheduled unavailability of staff qualified to perform the required observations, sampling, maintenance procedures, or record keeping shall be considered a valid reason for failure to perform the requirements stated in (a) above.

### C.15 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-2]

- (a) Records of all required monitoring data and support information shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. These records shall be kept at the source location for a minimum of three (3) years and available upon the request of an IDEM, OAQ, representative. The records may be stored elsewhere for the remaining two (2) years as long as they are available upon request. If the Commissioner makes a written request for records to the Permittee, the Permittee shall furnish the records to the Commissioner within a reasonable time.
- (b) Records of required monitoring information shall include, where applicable:
  - (1) The date, place, and time of sampling or measurements;
  - (2) The dates analyses were performed;
  - (3) The company or entity performing the analyses;
  - (4) The analytic techniques or methods used;
  - (5) The results of such analyses; and
  - (6) The operating conditions existing at the time of sampling or measurement.
- (c) Support information shall include, where applicable:
  - (1) Copies of all reports required by this permit;
  - (2) All original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation;

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (3) All calibration and maintenance records;
- (4) Records of preventive maintenance shall be sufficient to demonstrate that failure to implement the Preventive Maintenance Plan did not cause or contribute to a violation of any limitation on emissions or potential to emit. To be relied upon subsequent to any such violation, these records may include, but are not limited to: work orders, parts inventories, and operator's standard operating procedures. Records of response steps taken shall indicate whether the response steps were performed in accordance with the Compliance Response Plan required by Section C -Compliance Response Plan Preparation, Implementation, Records, and Reports, of this permit, and whether a deviation from a permit condition was reported. All records shall briefly describe what maintenance and response steps were taken and indicate who performed the tasks.
- (d) All record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented when operation begins.

### C.16 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-6.1-2] [IC 13-14-1-13]

(a) Reports required by conditions in Section D of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Data Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

- (b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, any notice, report, or other submission required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ, on or before the date it is due.
- (c) The first report shall cover the period commencing on the date of issuance of this permit and ending on the last day of the reporting period.

### C.17 Annual Notification [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(5)]

- (a) Annual notification shall be submitted to the Office of Air Quality stating whether or not the source is in operation and in compliance with the terms and conditions contained in this permit.
- (b) Noncompliance with any condition must be specifically identified. If there are any permit conditions or requirements for which the source is not in compliance at any time during the year, the Permittee must provide a narrative description of how the source did or will achieve compliance and the date compliance was, or will be, achieved. The notification must be signed by an authorized individual.
- (c) The annual notice shall cover the time period from January 1 to December 31 of the previous year, and shall be submitted in the format attached no later than March 1 of each year to:

Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality Indiana Department of Environmental Management 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015 Essex Group, Inc.
Page 14 of 23
Lafayette, Indiana
157-14304-00034

Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

(d) The notification shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ, on or before the date it is due.

Page 15 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### **SECTION D.1**

### **EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS**

### Facility Description [326 IAC 2-6]:

- (a) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clayton Steam Boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 12.88 Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1996 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack C-S;
- (b) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clever Brooks boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 33.48 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1994 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack CB-S;
- (c) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clayton Steam Generator, with a maximum heat input capacity of 2.34 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1980 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack CS-S;
- (d) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Keeler Faber boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 25.75 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1972 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack KF-S;
- (e) Natural gas-fired space heaters with a total heat input capacity of 4.005 million Btu per hour;

(The information describing the process contained in this facility description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

### **Emission Limitations and Standards**

### D.1.1 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-2-3]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-3 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating), particulate matter (PM) emissions from the Keeler Faber Boiler and the Clayton Steam Generator shall each be limited to 0.6 pounds of PM per million British thermal units.

### D.1.2 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-2-4]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating), particulate matter (PM) emissions from the Clever Brooks Boiler and the Clayton Steam Boiler shall be limited to 0.37 and 0.36 pounds of PM per million British thermal units, respectively.

The limits were calculated using the equation below:

$$Pt = \frac{1.09}{Q^{0.26}}$$

where Pt = Pounds of particulate matter emitted per million Btu (lb/MMBtu) heat input: and

Q = Total source maximum operating capacity (MMBtu/hr) = 62 MMBtu/hr for the Clever Brooks boiler and 74 MMBtu/hr for the Clayton Steam boiler.

### D.1.3 Particulate Matter [40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc] [326 IAC 12]

The Clayton Steam Boiler and the Clever Brooks boiler are subject to the New Source Performance Standard (NSPS), 326 IAC 12, 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Dc. Pursuant to this rule, records shall be kept of the amount of fuel combusted during each month. These records shall be maintained by the owner or operator of the facility for a period of two years following the date of such record.

Page 16 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### D.1.4 General Provision Relating to NSPS [326 IAC 12-1][40 CFR 60, Subpart A]

The provisions of 40 CFR 60, Subpart A - General Provisions, which are incorporated by reference in 326 IAC 12-1, apply to the facility described in this section except when otherwise specified in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc.

### Record Keeping and Reporting Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)] [326 IAC 2-8-16]

### D.1.5 Record Keeping Requirements

- (a) To document compliance with Condition D.1.3, the Permittee shall maintain monthly fuel records.
- (b) A certification signed by the owner or operator that the records of the fuel usage represent all of the fuel combusted during the period. The natural gas fired boiler certification does not require the certification of the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
- (c) All records shall be maintained in accordance with Section C General Record Keeping Requirements, of this permit.

### D.1.6 Reporting Requirements

The Permittee shall submit a signed certification that certifies that only natural gas was combusted in the Clayton Steam Boiler, Clever Brooks Boiler, and the Keeler Faber Boiler during the period. The natural gas boiler certification shall be submitted semi annually to the address listed in Section C - General Reporting Requirements, of this permit, using the reporting form located at the end of this permit, or their equivalent, within thirty (30) days after the end of the six (6) month period being reported.

Page 17 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### **SECTION D.2**

### **EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS**

### Facility Description [326 IAC 2-6]:

- (f) Four (4) Banbury mixers, one with a maximum capacity of 2,000 pounds of rubber per hour, and three others each with a maximum capacity of 2,500 pounds of rubber per hour, referred to as BB1 through BB4. The particulate matter emissions from these mixers are controlled by two baghouses;
- (g) Three (3) Continuous Vulcanization Catenary Lines 1 (CV-C1V), 2 (CV-C2V), and 3 (CV-C3V), each with a maximum capacity of 762 pounds of rubber per hour;
- (h) Six (6) continuous vulcanization slope lines, each with a maximum capacity of 762 pounds of rubber per hour;
- (i) One (1) rod mill;
- (j) Two (2) wire mills;
- (k) One (1) compactor for crushing empty boxes and bags;
- (I) One (1) bag baler;
- (m) Four (4) parts washers;
- (n) One (1) finished goods warehouse;
- (o) Raw material storage areas;
- (p) Electronic beam facility;
- (q) Off-line packaging;
- (r) Waste accumulation area;
- (s) Pilot plant;
- (t) Wastewater evaporator;
- (u) Ancillary equipment;
- (v) Empty compound tanks; and
- (w) Process oil tanks.

(The information describing the process contained in this facility description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

### **Emission Limitations and Standards**

### D.2.1 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3 (Process Operations), the following conditions shall apply:

(a) The allowable PM emission rate from the Banbury Mixer BB1 shall not exceed 4.1 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 2000 pounds per hour.

Page 18 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

(b) The allowable PM emission rate from the Banbury Mixers BB2 through BB4 shall each not exceed 4.8 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 2500 pounds per hour. The baghouses shall be in operation at all times the Banbury Mixers are in operation, in order to comply with this limit.

- (c) The allowable PM emission rate from the continuous vulcanization lines shall each not exceed 2.1 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 762 pounds per hour.
- (d) The allowable PM emission rate from the thermoplastic extrusion lines shall each not exceed 1.8 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 588 pounds per hour.

The pounds per hour limitations were calculated with the following equation:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to 60,000 pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

 $E = 4.10 P^{0.67}$  where E = rate of emission in pounds per hour; and P = process weight rate in tons per hour

### D.2.2 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-3-2 (Cold Cleaner Operations), the owner or operator shall:

- (a) Equip the cleaner with a cover;
- (b) Equip the cleaner with a emissions unit for draining cleaned parts;
- (c) Close the degreaser cover whenever parts are not being handled in the cleaner;
- (d) Drain cleaned parts for at least fifteen (15) seconds or until dripping ceases;
- (e) Provide a permanent, conspicuous label summarizing the operation requirements;
- (f) Store waste solvent only in covered containers and not dispose of waste solvent or transfer it to another party, in such a matter that greater than twenty percent (20%) of the waste solvent (by weight) can evaporate into the atmosphere.

### D.2.3 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

- (a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-3-5(a) (Cold Cleaner Degreaser Operation and Control), the owner or operator of a cold cleaner degreaser emissions unit shall ensure that the following control equipment requirements are met:
  - (1) Equip the degreaser with a cover. The cover must be designed so that it can be easily operated with one (1) hand if:
    - (A) The solvent volatility is greater than two (2) kiloPascals (fifteen (15) millimeters of mercury or three-tenths (0.3) pounds per square inch) measured at thirty-eight degrees Celsius (38°C) (one hundred degrees Fahrenheit (100°F));
    - (B) The solvent is agitated; or
    - (C) The solvent is heated.
  - (2) Equip the degreaser with a emissions unit for draining cleaned articles. If the solvent volatility is greater than four and three-tenths (4.3) kiloPascals (thirty-two

- (32) millimeters of mercury) or six-tenths (0.6) pounds per square inch) measured at thirty-eight degrees Celsius (38°C) (one hundred degrees Fahrenheit (100°F)), then the drainage emissions unit must be internal such that articles are enclosed under the cover while draining. The drainage emissions unit may be external for applications where an internal type cannot fit into the cleaning system.
- (3) Provide a permanent, conspicuous label which lists the operating requirements outlined in subsection (b).
- (4) The solvent spray, if used, must be a solid, fluid stream and shall be applied at a pressure which does not cause excessive splashing.
- (5) Equip the degreaser with one (1) of the following control devices if the solvent volatility is greater than four and three-tenths (4.3) kiloPascals (thirty-two (32) millimeters of mercury) or six-tenths (0.6) pounds per square inch) measured at thirty-eight degrees Celsius (38°C) (one hundred degrees Fahrenheit (100°F)), or if the solvent is heated to a temperature greater than forty-eight and nine-tenths degrees Celsius (48.9°C) (one hundred twenty degrees Fahrenheit (120°F)):
  - (A) A freeboard that attains a freeboard ratio of seventy-five hundredths (0.75) or greater.
  - (B) A water cover when solvent is used is insoluble in, and heavier than, water.
  - (C) Other systems of demonstrated equivalent control such as a refrigerated chiller of carbon adsorption. Such systems shall be submitted to the U.S. EPA as a SIP revision.
- (b) Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-3-5(b) (Cold Cleaner Degreaser Operation and Control), the owner or operator of a cold cleaning emissions unit shall ensure that the following operating requirements are met:
  - (1) Close the cover whenever articles are not being handled in the degreaser.
  - (2) Drain cleaned articles for at least fifteen (15) seconds or until dripping ceases.
  - (3) Store waste solvent only in covered containers and prohibit the disposal or transfer of waste solvent in any manner in which greater than twenty percent (20%) of the waste solvent by weight could evaporate.

Page 20 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

# INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY Compliance Branch

### MINOR SOURCE OPERATING PERMIT ANNUAL NOTIFICATION

This form should be used to comply with the notification requirements under 326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(5).

Company Name:	Essex Group, Inc.
Address:	3400 Union Street
City:	Lafayette, Indiana 47904
Phone #:	219-461-4000
MSOP #:	157-14304-00034

I hereby certify that Essex Group Inc. is 9 still in operation.

9 no longer in operation.

I hereby certify that Essex Group Inc. is 9 in compliance with the requirements of MSOP 157-14304-00034.

9 not in compliance with the requirements of MSOP 157-14304-00034.

Authorized Individual (typed):					
Title:					
Signature:					
Date:					

If there are any conditions or requirements for which the source is not in compliance, provide a narrative description of how the source did or will achieve compliance and the date compliance was, or will be achieved.

Noncompliance:						

Page 21 of 23 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT **OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION**

### MINOR SOURCE OPERATING PERMIT (MSOP) NATURAL GAS FIRED BOILER CERTIFICATION

**Source Name:** Essex Group, Inc.

**Source Address:** 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, Indiana 47903 **Mailing Address:** 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, Indiana 47903

FESOP No.:	157-14304-00034		
This certification	n shall be included when subn or other documents as re		g reports/results
Boiler Affected	<u>Alternate Fuel</u>	Days burning alternate From <u>To</u>	fuel
	on information and belief forme ument are true, accurate, and co		y, the statements and
Signature:			
Printed Name:			
Title/Position:			
Date:			

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

\*SEE PAGE 2

### **MALFUNCTION REPORT**

### INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY FAX NUMBER - 317 233-5967

	nly be used to report malfunct to qualify for the exemption u		e 326 IAC 1-6	
THIS FACILITY MEETS THE APPLICA PARTICULATE MATTER?, 25 T 25 TONS/YEAR VOC?, 25 TON: ?, 25 TONS/YEAR REDUCED S CARBON MONOXIDE?, 10 TON COMBINATION HAZARDOUS AIR POI ELEMENTAL LEAD?, OR IS A S MALFUNCTIONING CONTROL EQUIP APPLICABLE LIMITATION	ONS/YEAR SULFUR DIOXIDE S/YEAR HYDROGEN SULFIDE SULFUR COMPOUNDS ?, NS/YEAR ANY SINGLE HAZARI LLUTANT ?, 1 TON/YEAR SOURCE LISTED UNDER 326 I/	.?, 25 TONS/YE/ ?, 25 TONS/YEA , 25 TONS/YEAR FLUO DOUS AIR POLLUTANT R LEAD OR LEAD COM AC 2-5.1-3(2) ?	AR NITROGEN OXIDES R TOTAL REDUCED S RIDES ?, 100TOI - ?, 25 TONS/YE/ POUNDS MEASURED EMISSIONS FROM	S?, ULFUR NS/YEAR AR ANY
THIS MALFUNCTION RESULTED IN A PERMIT LIMIT OF	VIOLATION OF: 326 IAC	OR, PERMIT CONI	DITION # AND	)/OR
THIS INCIDENT MEETS THE DEFINIT	TON OF 'MALFUNCTION' AS LI	ISTED ON REVERSE S	IDE? Y N	
THIS MALFUNCTION IS OR WILL BE	LONGER THAN THE ONE (1) H	OUR REPORTING RE	QUIREMENT? Y	N
COMPANY:		PHONE NO.	( )	
COMPANY: LOCATION: (CITY AND COUNTY) PERMIT NOAFS	DI ANT ID:	AEC DOINT ID:	INICD	
CONTROL/PROCESS DEVICE WHICH I	MALFUNCTIONED AND REASO	_ AFS POINT ID: ON:	INSP:	
DATE/TIME MALFUNCTION STARTED:	// 20			AM / PM
ESTIMATED HOURS OF OPERATION V	VITH MALFUNCTION CONDITION	ON:		
DATE/TIME CONTROL EQUIPMENT E	3ACK-IN SERVICE/	/ 20	AM/PM	
TYPE OF POLLUTANTS EMITTED: TS	P, PM-10, SO2, VOC, OTH	HER:		
ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF POLLUTANT	EMITTED DURING MALFUNCT	TION:		
MEASURES TAKEN TO MINIMIZE EMIS	SSIONS:			
REASONS WHY FACILITY CANNOT BE	SHUTDOWN DURING REPAIF			
CONTINUED OPERATION REQUIRED	TO PROVIDE ESSENTIAL* SEF	RVICES:		
CONTINUED OPERATION NECESSARY CONTINUED OPERATION NECESSARY INTERIM CONTROL MEASURES: (IF AF	Y TO PREVENT SEVERE DAMA			
MALFUNCTION REPORTED BY:	(SIGNATURE IF FAXED)	TITLE:		
MALEUNCTION RECORDED BY:	DATE:	TIME	-,	

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

# Please note - This form should only be used to report malfunctions applicable to Rule 326 IAC 1-6 and to qualify for the exemption under 326 IAC 1-6-4.

### 326 IAC 1-6-1 Applicability of rule

Sec. 1. This rule applies to the owner or operator of any facility required to obtain a permit under 326 IAC 2-5.1 or 326 IAC 2-6.1.

### 326 IAC 1-2-39 "Malfunction" definition

Sec. 39. Any sudden, unavoidable failure of any air pollution control equipment, process, or combustion or process equipment to operate in a normal and usual manner.

\*<u>Essential services</u> are interpreted to mean those operations, such as, the providing of electricity by power plants. Continued operation solely for the economic benefit of the owner or operator shall not be sufficient reason why a facility cannot be shutdown during a control equipment shutdown.

If this item is checked on the front, please explain rationale:

# Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality

## Addendum to the Technical Support Document for Minor Source Operating Permit (MSOP)

### **Source Background and Description**

Source Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Source Location: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

County: Tippecanoe SIC Code: 2822 and 3357 Operation Permit No.: 157-14304-00034

Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

On January 28, 2002, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) had a notice published in the Journal & Courier, Lafayette, Indiana, stating that Essex Group, Inc. had applied for a Minor Source Operating Permit (MSOP) to operate a wire and cable manufacturing facility with control. The notice also stated that OAQ proposed to issue a permit for this operation and provided information on how the public could review the proposed permit and other documentation. Finally, the notice informed interested parties that there was a period of thirty (30) days to provide comments on whether or not this permit should be issued as proposed.

Upon further review, the OAQ has decided to make the following revisions to the permit (bolded language has been added, the language with a line through it has been deleted). The Table Of Contents has been modified to reflect these changes.

- 1. The IDEM, OAQ has restructured C.12 to clarify the contents and implementation of the compliance response plan. The name of the condition has changed to better reflect the contents of the condition. The language regarding the OAQ's discretion to excuse failure to perform monitoring under certain conditions has been deleted. The OAQ retains this discretion to excuse minor incidents of missing data; however, it is not necessary to state criteria regarding the exercise of that discretion in the permit. The title Compliance Monitoring Plan Failure to Take Response Steps has been changed to Compliance Response Plan Preparation, Implementation, Records, and Reports throughout the permit.
- C.12 Compliance Monitoring Response Plan Failure to Take Response Steps Preparation, Implementation, Records, and Reports [326 IAC 2-8-4] [326 IAC 2-8-5] [326 IAC 1-6]
  - (a) The Permittee is required to **prepare** implement: a compliance monitoring plan to ensure that reasonable information is available to evaluate its continuous compliance with applicable requirements. The compliance monitoring plan can be either an entirely new document, consist in whole of information contained in other documents, or consist of a combination of new information and information contained in other documents. If the compliance monitoring plan incorporates by reference information contained in other documents, the Permittee shall identify as part of the compliance monitoring plan the documents in which the information is found. The elements of the compliance monitoring plan are:

Page 2 of 3 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (1) This condition;
- (2) The Compliance Determination Requirements in Section D of this permit;
- (3) The Compliance Monitoring Requirements in Section D of this permit;
- (4) The Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements in Section C (General Record Keeping Requirements, and General Reporting Requirements) and in Section D of this permit; and
- (5) A a Compliance Response Plan (CRP) for each compliance monitoring condition of this permit. A CRP's shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request. and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. The CRP shall be prepared within ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit by the Permittee, supplemented from time to time by the Permittee, and maintained on site, and is comprised of:
  - (A)(1) Reasonable response steps that may be implemented in the event that compliance related information indicates that a response step is needed pursuant to the requirements of Section D of this permit; and an expected timeframe for taking reasonable response steps.
  - (B) A time schedule for taking reasonable response steps including a schedule for devising additional response steps for situations that may not have been predicted.
  - (2) If, at any time, the Permittee takes reasonable response steps that are not set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan and the Permittee documents such response in accordance with subsection (e) below, the Permittee shall amend its Compliance Response Plan to include such response steps taken.
- (b) For each compliance monitoring condition of this permit, reasonable response steps shall be taken when indicated by the provisions of that compliance monitoring condition as follows: Failure to take reasonable response steps may constitute a violation of the permit.
  - (1) Reasonable response steps shall be taken as set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan; or
  - (2) If none of the reasonable response steps listed in the Compliance Response Plan is applicable or responsive to the excursion, the Permittee shall devise and implement additional response steps as expeditiously as practical. Taking such additional response steps shall not be considered a deviation from this permit so long as the Permittee documents such response steps in accordance with this condition.
  - (3) If the Permittee determines that additional response steps would necessitate that the emissions unit or control device be shut down, the IDEM, OAQ shall be promptly notified of the expected date of the shut down, the status of the applicable compliance monitoring parameter with respect to normal, and the results of the actions taken up to the time of notification.

- (4) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall constitute a violation of the permit.
- (c) Upon investigation of a compliance monitoring excursion, the **The** Permittee is excused from taking not required to take any further response steps for any of the following reasons:
  - (1) A false reading occurs due to the malfunction of the monitoring equipment **and**This shall be an excuse from taking further response steps providing that prompt action was taken to correct the monitoring equipment.
  - (2) The Permittee has determined that the compliance monitoring parameters established in the permit conditions are technically inappropriate, has previously submitted a request for an administrative amendment to the permit, and such request has not been denied.
  - (3) An automatic measurement was taken when the process was not operating.
  - (4) The process has already returned or is returning to operating within "normal" parameters and no response steps are required.
- (d) When implementing reasonable steps in response to a compliance monitoring condition, if the Permittee determines that an exceedance of an emission limitation has occurred, the Permittee shall report such deviations pursuant to Section B-Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions.
- (d)(e) Records shall be kept of all instances in which the compliance related information was not met and of all response steps taken. The Permittee shall record all instances when response steps are taken. In the event of an emergency, the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7-16 (Emergency Provisions) requiring prompt corrective action to mitigate emissions shall prevail.
- (e)(f) Except as otherwise provided by a rule or provided specifically in Section D, all monitoring as required in Section D shall be performed at all times when the equipment emission unit is operating, except for time necessary to perform quality assurance and maintenance activities. If monitoring is required by Section D and the equipment is not operating, then the Permittee may record the fact that the equipment is not operating or perform the required monitoring.
- (f) At its discretion, IDEM may excuse the Permittee's failure to perform the monitoring and record keeping as required by Section D, if the Permittee provides adequate justification and documents that such failures do not exceed five percent (5%) of the operating time in any quarter. Temporary, unscheduled unavailability of qualified staff shall be considered a valid reason for failure to perform the monitoring or record keeping requirements in Section D.

# Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality

Technical Support Document (TSD) for a Minor Source Operating Permit

### **Source Background and Description**

Source Name: Essex Group, Inc.

**Source Location:** 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

County: Tippecanoe SIC Code: 2822 and 3357 Operation Permit No.: 157-14304-00034

Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

The Office of Air Quality (OAQ) has reviewed an application from Essex Group, Inc. relating to the operation of a wire and cable manufacturing facility.

### **Permitted Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment**

The source consists of the following permitted emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clayton Steam Boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 12.88 Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1996 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack C-S;
- (b) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clever Brooks boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 33.48 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1994 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack CB-S;
- (c) One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Clayton Steam Generator, with a maximum heat input capacity of 2.34 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1980 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack CS-S;
- One (1) natural gas-fired boiler, referred to as the Keeler Faber boiler, with a maximum heat input capacity of 25.75 million Btu per hour. This boiler was installed in 1972 and it exhausts to the atmosphere via stack KF-S;
- (e) Natural gas-fired space heaters with a total heat input capacity of 4.005 million Btu per hour;
- (f) Four (4) Banbury mixers, one with a maximum capacity of 2,000 pounds of rubber per hour, and three others each with a maximum capacity of 2,500 pounds of rubber per hour, referred to as BB1 through BB4. The particulate matter emissions from these mixers are controlled by two baghouses;
- (g) Two (2) Continuous Vulcanization Catenary Lines, referred to as CV-1 and CV-2, each with a maximum capacity of 762 pounds of rubber per hour;
- (h) Two (2) continuous vulcanization slope lines, referred to as CV-5 and CV-6, each with a maximum capacity of 762 pounds of rubber per hour;

Page 2 of 7 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (i) One (1) rod mill;
- (j) Two (2) wire mills;
- (k) One (1) compactor for crushing empty boxes and bags;
- (I) One (1) bag baler;
- (m) Four (4) parts washers;
- (n) One (1) finished goods warehouse;
- (o) Raw material storage areas;
- (p) Electronic beam facility;
- (q) Off-line packaging;
- (r) Waste accumulation area;
- (s) Pilot plant;
- (t) Wastewater evaporator;
- (u) Ancillary equipment;
- (v) Empty compound tanks; and
- (w) Process oil tanks.

### **Unpermitted Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment**

There are no unpermitted facilities operating at this source during this review process.

### New Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Receiving Prior Approval

There are no new construction activities included in this permit.

### **Existing Approvals**

The source has been operating under previous approvals including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) CP 157-5837-00034, issued on February 14, 1997;

All conditions from previous approvals were incorporated into this permit.

### **Enforcement Issue**

There are no enforcement actions pending.

### **Stack Summary**

Stack ID	Operation	Height (feet)	Diameter (feet)	Flow Rate (acfm)	Temperature (°F)
KF-S	Stack	47.5	1.5	15,000	350
CB-S	Stack	47.5	1.5	15,000	350
C-S	Stack	37.5	1.75	5,000	350
CS-S	Stack	37.5	1.75	5,000	Ambient
BH-1S	Stack	42.5	4.0 x 2.0	13,000	Ambient
BH-2S	Stack	40.0	2.0	13,000	350
CV-1V	Vent	32.5	0.5	NA	350
CV-2V	Vent	32.5	0.5	NA	350
CV-5V	Vent	32.5	0.5	NA	350
CV-6V	Vent	32.5	0.5	NA	350

### Recommendation

The staff recommends to the Commissioner that the operation be approved. This recommendation is based on the following facts and conditions:

Unless otherwise stated, information used in this review was derived from the application and additional information submitted by the applicant.

An application for the purposes of this review was received on April 19, 2001, with additional information received on October 1, 2001.

### **Emission Calculations**

See Appendix A of this document for detailed emissions calculations (pages 1 through 16).

### **Potential To Emit of Source Before Controls**

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(16), Potential to Emit is defined as "the maximum capacity of a stationary source or emissions unit to emit any air pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of a source to emit an air pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed shall be treated as part of its design if the limitation is enforceable by the U. S. EPA, the department, or the appropriate local air pollution control agency."

Pollutant	Potential To Emit (tons/year)
PM	21.30
PM-10	21.30
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.20
VOC	14.96
СО	28.89
NO <sub>x</sub>	34.41

HAP's	Potential To Emit (tons/year)
Single HAPs	<10
TOTAL	10.06

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

- (a) The potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of pollutants are less than 100 tons per year. Therefore, the source is not subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7.
- (b) The potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of pollutants are greater than 25 tons per year, therefore, the source is subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-6.1.
- (c) The potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of any single HAP is less than ten (10) tons per year and/or the potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of a combination of HAPs is less than twenty-five (25) tons per year, therefore, the source is not subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7.
- (e) Fugitive Emissions
  Since this type of operation is not one of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories under 326 IAC 2-2 and since there are no applicable New Source Performance Standards that were in effect on August 7, 1980, the fugitive particulate matter (PM) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions are not counted toward determination of PSD and Emission Offset applicability.

### **County Attainment Status**

The source is located in Tippecanoe County.

Pollutant	Status
PM-10	Attainment
SO <sub>2</sub>	Attainment
NO <sub>2</sub>	Attainment
Ozone	Attainment
CO	Attainment
Lead	Attainment

- (a) Volatile organic compounds (VOC) are precursors for the formation of ozone. Therefore, VOC emissions are considered when evaluating the rule applicability relating to the ozone standards. Tippecanoe County has been designated as attainment or unclassifiable for ozone. Therefore, VOC emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2 and 40 CFR 52.21.
- (b) Tippecanoe County has been classified as attainment or unclassifiable for all other criteria pollutants. Therefore, these emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2 and 40 CFR 52.21.

### **Potential to Emit After Issuance**

		Potential to Emit After Issuance (tons/year)					
Process/emission unit	PM	PM-10	SO <sub>2</sub>	VOC	СО	NO <sub>x</sub>	HAPs
Boilers	2.5	2.5	0.2	1.8	27.4	32.6	0.6
Heaters	0.1	0.1		0.1	1.5	1.8	0.03
Banbury Mixers	18.7	18.7		9.5	_	_	2.3
Continuous Vulcanization Lines	1			3.4	_	_	6.6
Wire Mill	_	_	_	0.2	_	_	0.5
Total PTE After Issuance	21.3	21.3	0.2	15.0	28.9	34.4	10.0

This table reflects the potential to emit of the emission units at the source. Each boiler is subject

Page 5 of 7 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

to either 326 IAC 6-2-3 or 326 IAC 6-2-4 and the allowables under these rules would limit the boilers in total, to 148 tons per year of PM. The values for boilers in the table above reflect the potential to emit using AP-42 emission factors. Therefore, the boilers are easily in compliance with 326 IAC 6-2-3 and 326 IAC 6-2-4.

### Part 70 Permit Determination

### 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70 Permit Program)

This existing source is not subject to the Part 70 Permit requirements because the potential to emit (PTE) of:

- (a) each criteria pollutant is less than 100 tons per year,
- (b) a single hazardous air pollutant (HAP) is less than 10 tons per year, and
- (c) any combination of HAPs is less than 25 tons/year.

This status is based on all the air approvals issued to the source.

### Federal Rule Applicability

- (a) The Clayton Steam Boiler and the Clever Brooks boiler are subject to the requirements of the New Source Performance Standard, 326 IAC 12, (40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc), because these boilers where constructed in 1996 and 1994, respectively, which is after June 9, 1989, which is the applicability date for this rule and these boilers have a heat input capacity of less than 100 million Btu per hour, but greater than 10 million Btu per hour. The requirements for these natural gas boilers consist of monthly fuel usage recordkeeping.
- (b) The Clayton Steam Generator and the Keeler Faber boiler are not subject to the requirements of the New Source Performance Standard, 326 IAC 12, (40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc), because these boilers where constructed in 1980 and 1972, respectively, which is prior to June 9, 1989, which is the applicability date for this rule.
- (c) There are no National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)(326 IAC 14 and 40 CFR Part 63) applicable to this source.

### State Rule Applicability - Entire Source

### 326 IAC 2-6 (Emission Reporting)

This source is located in Tippecanoe and the potential to emit all criteria pollutants is less than one hundred (100) tons per year. Therefore, 326 IAC 2-6 does not apply.

### 326 IAC 5-1 (Visible Emissions Limitations)

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings) as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

### State Rule Applicability - Individual Facilities

Page 6 of 7 157-14304-00034

Essex Group, Inc. Lafayette, Indiana Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

### 326 IAC 2-4.1 (Major Sources of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP))

The operation of the Banbury mixers and continuous vulcanization lines will emit less than 10 tons per year of a single HAP or 25 tons per year of a combination of HAPs. Therefore, 326 IAC 2-4.1 does not apply.

### 326 IAC 8-1-6 (New Facilities - General Reduction Requirement)

The Banbury mixers, continuous vulcanization lines, and thermoplastic extrusion lines do not have potential VOC emissions equal to or greater than twenty five (25) tons per year, therefore this source is not subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 8-1-6.

### 326 IAC 6-2-3 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Source of Indirect Heating)

326 IAC 6-2-3 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating) applies to the Keeler Faber Boiler and Clayton Steam Generator because they were constructed in 1972 and 1980, respectively, which are before the applicability date of September 21, 1983 for Section 4 of this rule. Therefore Section 3 is applicable. Pursuant to this rule, the particulate matter (PM) from the following units shall be limited as follows:

Year	Unit	Q (MMBtu/hr)	Stack Height (ft)	Number of stacks	Pt (lb/MMBtu)	Emission Limit (lb/MMBtu) for each unit
1972	Keeler	25.75	47.5	1	1.8	0.6
1980	Clayton	25.75 + 2.34 = 28.09	37.5	2	1.1	0.6

The limits were calculated using the equation below:

Pt = 
$$\frac{C \times a \times h}{76.5 \times Q^{0.75} \times N^{0.25}}$$

Where  $C = 50 \text{ u/m}^3$ 

Pt = pounds of particulate matter emitted per million Btu heat input (lb/MMBtu)

Q = total source maximum operating capacity rating (MMBtu/hr)

N = number of stacks

a = plume rise factor (0.67)

h = stack height (ft)

### 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Emissions Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating)

The Clever Brooks boiler and Clayton Steam boiler are subject to 326 IAC 6-2-4 (Particulate Emissions Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating) because they were constructed in 1994 and 1996, respectively which are after the applicability date of September 21, 1983 for this rule. Pursuant to this rule, the particulate matter emissions from the Clever Brooks boiler and Clayton Steam boiler shall be limited to 0.37 and 0.36 pounds per million British thermal units heat input, respectively. The particulate matter (PM) from the following units shall be limited as follows:

Year	Unit	Q (MMBtu/hr)	Pt (lb/MMBtu)	Emission Limit (lb/MMBtu) for each unit
1994	Clever	25.75 + 2.34 + 33.48 = 61.57	0.37	0.37
1996	Clayton	25.75 + 2.34 + 33.48 + 12.88 = 74.45	0.36	0.36

Essex Group, Inc.
Page 7 of 7
Lafayette, Indiana
157-14304-00034

Permit Reviewer: ERG/AR

$$Pt = \frac{1.09}{Q^{0.26}}$$

where Pt = Pounds of particulate matter emitted per million Btu (lb/MMBtu) heat

input; and

Q = Total source maximum operating capacity (MMBtu/hr)

### 326 IAC 6-3-2 (Process Operations)

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3 (Process Operations), the following conditions shall apply:

- (a) The allowable PM emission rate from the Banbury Mixer BB1 shall not exceed 4.1 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 2000 pounds per hour and the allowable PM emission rate from the Banbury Mixers BB2 through BB4 shall each not exceed 4.8 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 2500 pounds per hour. The baghouses shall be in operation at all times the Banbury Mixers are in operation, in order to comply with this limit.
- (b) The allowable PM emission rate from the continuous vulcanization lines shall each not exceed 2.1 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 762 pounds per hour.
- (c) The allowable PM emission rate from the thermoplastic extrusion lines shall each not exceed 1.8 pounds per hour when operating at a process weight rate of 588 pounds per hour.

The pounds per hour limitations were calculated with the following equation:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to 60,000 pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

$$E = 4.10 P^{0.67}$$
 where  $E =$  rate of emission in pounds per hour; and  $P =$  process weight rate in tons per hour

### 326 IAC 8-3-1 (Organic Solvent Degreasing Operations)

The four (4) parts washers were built after January 1, 1980, therefore 326 IAC 8-3-1 Section 2 applies. Section 5 also applies to this equipment because it was built after July 1, 1990.

### Conclusion

The operation of this rubber and plastic manufacturing facility shall be subject to the conditions of the attached Minor Source Operating Permit 157-14304-00034.

### Appendix A: Emission Calculations

Continuous Vulcanization Lines (CV-1, CV-2, CV-5, and CV-6)

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304
PIt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

Emission Factors for Extruding in lb/lb of rubber

	PM	VOC	HAP
Compound 9 (EPDM)	1.51E-08	1.24E-05	1.89E-05

Emission Factors for Autoclave Curing in lb/lb of rubber

	PM	VOC	HAP
Compound 9 (EPDM)		2.47E-04	4.70E-04

### Emissions Due to InkJet Printing

Product	gal/yr	lb/gal	wt% VOC	VOC lbs/yr	VOC tons/yr	wt% HAP	HAP lbs/yr	HAP tons/yr
Ink	12	8.01	70	67.28	0.03	5	4.81	0.00
Makeup	6	6.67	100	40.02	0.02	70	28.01	0.01
Wash	21	6.67	100	140.07	0.07	100	140.07	0.07
Total				247.37	0.12		172.89	0.09

Total Emissions from the Continuous Vulcanization Lines = Emissions from Extrusion + Emissions from Autoclave Curing + Emissions from Printing

Maximum Capacity of All Lines Combined = 3,048 pounds per hour

Total Emissions from all CV Lines in tons/year

	PM	VOC	HAP
Continuous Vulcanization Lines	0.00	3.59	6.61

### Appendix A: Emission Calculations

### Banbury Mixers (BB-1, BB-2, BB-3, BB-4)

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304
PIt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

### Emission Factors in lb/lb of rubber

	PM	PM10	VOC	HAP
Compound 6 (SBR)	4.00E-04	4.00E-04	3.86E-05	4.87E-05
Compound 9 (EPDM)	4.92E-05	4.92E-05	2.91E-05	1.52E-05
Compound 11 (CRW)	7.83E-05	7.83E-05	3.28E-05	1.14E-05
Compound 11 (Neoprene W)	7.83E-05	7.83E-05	3.28E-05	1.14E-05
Compound 13 (Paracryl OZO)	2.46E-04	2.46E-04	2.28E-04	1.61E-05
Compound 15 (Hypalon)	1.42E-04	1.42E-04	9.39E-06	5.56E-05
Compound 16 (Teflon Additive)	3.17E-04	3.17E-04	8.16E-05	4.71E-06
Compound 19 (Silicone)	6.90E-05	6.90E-05	2.76E-05	3.71E-06
Compound 21(Chlorinated Polyethylene)	7.50E-05	7.50E-05	1.57E-04	1.13E-05
Compound 22 (Emulsion SBR)	4.50E-04	4.50E-04	1.23E-04	2.98E-05

<sup>\*</sup> Use worst case emission factors

Maximum Capacity of all Banbury Mixers Combined = 9,500 pounds per hour

### Potential Emissions in Tons/year

	PM	PM10	VOC	HAP
Potential Emissions from all Banbury Mixers	18.72	18.72	9.49	2.31

Methodology: (Maximum Capacity)\*(Emission Factor)\*(1 ton/2000 lbs)\*(8760 hr/yr)

Controlled Emissions in Tons/year

	PM	PM10	VOC	HAP
Controlled Emissions from all Banbury Mixers	0.19	0.19	0.09	0.02

Methodology: (Maximum Capacity)\*(Emission Factor)\*(1 ton/2000 lbs)\*(8760 hr/yr)\*(1-.99) The baghouses associated with the Banbury Mixers are 99% efficient.

### Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 CS-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304 PIt ID: 157-00034 Reviewer: ERG/AR

**Date:** 07-Sep-01

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

2.3

#### Pollutant

	PM*	PM10*	SO2	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	7.6	7.6	0.6	100.0	5.5	84.0
				**see below		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.1	0.1	0.01	1.0	0.1	0.9

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is condensable and filterable PM10 combined.

### Methodology

All Emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF - 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr)  $\times$  8,760 hrs/yr  $\times$  1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, and 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (AP-42 Supplement D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See next page for HAPs emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NO<sub>x</sub>: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burner = 50, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

#### Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 CS-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc. Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street

CP: 157-14304
Plt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

HAPs - Organics

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene
	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	2.152E-05	1.230E-05	7.687E-04	1.845E-02	3.485E-05

#### HAPs - Metals

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Lead	Cadmuim	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel
	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	5.125E-06	1.127E-05	1.435E-05	3.895E-06	2.152E-05

Total HAPs = 1.934E-02 tpy

Methodology is the same as previous page.

#### Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 C-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304
Plt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

12.9

#### Pollutant

	PM*	PM10*	SO2	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	7.6	7.6	0.6	100.0	5.5	84.0
				**see below		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.4	0.4	0.03	5.6	0.3	4.7

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is condensable and filterable PM10 combined.

#### Methodology

All Emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF - 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr)  $\times$  8,760 hrs/yr  $\times$  1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, and 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (AP-42 Supplement D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See next page for HAPs emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NO<sub>x</sub>: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burner = 50, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

#### Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 C-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc. Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street

CP: 157-14304
Plt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

HAPs - Organics

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene
	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.185E-04	6.770E-05	4.231E-03	1.015E-01	1.918E-04

#### HAPs - Metals

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Lead	Cadmuim	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel
	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	2.821E-05	6.206E-05	7.898E-05	2.144E-05	1.185E-04

Total HAPs = 1.065E-01 tpy

Methodology is the same as previous page.

## Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 KF-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304
PIt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR

Date: 07-Sep-01

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

25.8 225.6

#### Pollutant

	PM*	PM10*	SO2	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	7.6	7.6	0.6	100.0	5.5	84.0
				**see below		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.9	0.9	0.1	11.3	0.6	9.5

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is condensable and filterable PM10 combined.

#### Methodology

All Emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF - 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu

Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, and 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03

(AP-42 Supplement D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See next page for HAPs emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NO<sub>x</sub>: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burner = 50, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

## Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 KF-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc. Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street

CP: 157-14304
Plt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

#### HAPs - Organics

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene
	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	2.368E-04	1.353E-04	8.459E-03	2.030E-01	3.835E-04

#### HAPs - Metals

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Lead	Cadmuim	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel
	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	5.639E-05	1.241E-04	1.579E-04	4.286E-05	2.368E-04

Total HAPs = 2.128E-01 tpy

Methodology is the same as previous page.

#### Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 CB-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304
Plt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

Heat Input Capacity Potential Throughput MMBtu/hr MMCF/yr

33.5

#### Pollutant

		1 Ollatarit				
	PM*	PM10*	SO2	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO
Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	7.6	7.6	0.6	100.0	5.5	84.0
				**see below		
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.1	1.1	0.1	14.7	0.8	12.3

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is condensable and filterable PM10 combined.

#### Methodology

All Emission factors are based on normal firing.

MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF - 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr)  $\times$  8,760 hrs/yr  $\times$  1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, and 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (AP-42 Supplement D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

See next page for HAPs emissions calculations.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NO<sub>x</sub>: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burner = 50, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

## Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 CB-S

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc. Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street

CP: 157-14304
PIt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

HAPs - Organics

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene
	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	3.079E-04	1.760E-04	1.100E-02	2.640E-01	4.986E-04

#### HAPs - Metals

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Lead	Cadmuim	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel
	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	7.332E-05	1.613E-04	2.053E-04	5.572E-05	3.079E-04

Total HAPs = 2.767E-01 tpy

Methodology is the same as previous page.

## Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 Heaters

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304 Plt ID: 157-00034 Reviewer: ERG/AR Date: 07-Sep-01

Potential Throughput

MMCF/yr

Heat Input Capacity

MMBtu/hr

·

4.0

35.1

#### Pollutant

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	PM* 7.6	PM10* 7.6	SO2 0.6	NO <sub>x</sub> 100.0 **see below	VOC 5.5	CO 84.0
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.8	0.1	1.5

<sup>\*</sup>PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is condensable and filterable PM10 combined.

#### Methodology

All Emission factors are based on normal firing. MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu

MMCF - 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas

Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,000 MMBtu Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, and 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03 (AP-42 Supplement D 3/98)

Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

<sup>\*\*</sup>Emission Factors for NO<sub>x</sub>: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burner = 50, Low NO<sub>x</sub> Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

# Appendix A: Emission Calculations Natural Gas Combustion Only MMBTU/HR<100 Heaters

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc. Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street

CP: 157-14304 Plt ID: 157-00034 Reviewer: ERG/AR Date: 07-Sep-01

#### HAPs - Organics

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene
	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	3.684E-05	2.105E-05	1.316E-03	3.158E-02	5.964E-05

#### HAPs - Metals

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Lead	Cadmuim	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel
	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03
Potential Emission in tons/yr	8.771E-06	1.930E-05	2.456E-05	6.666E-06	3.684E-05

Total HAPs = 3.310E-02 tpy

Methodology is the same as previous page.

Appendix A: Emission Calculations

Wire Mills

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304 PIt ID: 157-00034 Reviewer: ERG/AR

Date: September 7, 2001

	Product Usage	Product Usage	VOC Content	VOC Emissions	Formaldehyde	Formaldehyde	Diethanolamine	Diethanolamime
Solution	(gal/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(%)	(tons/yr)	Content (%)	Emissions (tons/yr)	Content (%)	Emissions (tons/yr)
<b>Emulsion Cleaner</b>	240	1761	0.02					
ADL-17	1032	1902						
HSDL 20/25	996	8349						
Aquagene	972	8917	0.01					
Additive "T"	1038	9748	0.1				10	0.49
S-42	60	463						
Lubricity Additive	120	1021						
Koolex X-10	240	1861						
Koolex X-255	120	963						
Triadine	60	580	21.5	0.06				
GWR #600	48	452						
ADL foamer	60	480						
Copper Tech Z	120	971	25	0.12	0.5			
Defoamer "D"	180	1321	1	0.01				
Totals	5286	38789	47.63	0.19	0.5			0.49

Appendix A: Emission Calculations

Summary Table

Company Name: Essex Group, Inc.

Address City IN Zip: 3400 Union Street, Lafayette, IN 47904

CP: 157-14304
PIt ID: 157-00034
Reviewer: ERG/AR
Date: 07-Sep-01

### Potential to Emit in tons/year

Unit	PM	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO	HAPs
KF-S	0.86	0.86	0.07	11.28	0.62	9.47	0.21
CB-S	1.11	1.11	0.09	14.66	0.81	12.32	0.28
CS-S	0.08	0.08	0.01	1.02	0.06	0.86	0.02
C-S	0.43	0.43	0.03	5.64	0.31	4.74	0.11
Wire Mill					0.19		0.49
Mixers	18.72	18.72			9.49		2.31
CV lines					3.39		6.61
Heaters	0.1	0.1		1.8	0.1	1.5	0.03
Total	21.30	21.30	0.20	34.41	14.96	28.89	10.06

Page 14 of 14 TSD App A

#### §60.40c

electronic report shall be coordinated with the permitting authority. The electronic report(s) shall be submitted no later than 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter and shall be accompanied by a certification statement from the owner or operator, indicating whether compliance with the applicable emission standards and minimum data requirements of this subpart was achieved during the reporting period. Before submitting reports in the electronic format, the owner or operator shall coordinate with the permitting authority to obtain their agreement to submit reports in this alternative for-

[52 FR 47842, Dec. 16, 1987, as amended at 54 FR 51820, 51825, Dec. 18, 1989; 60 FR 28062, May 30, 1995; 61 FR 14031, Mar. 29, 1996; 62 FR 52641, Oct. 8, 1997; 63 FR 49455, Sept. 16, 1998; 64 FR 7464, Feb. 12, 1999]

#### Subpart Dc—Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

Source:  $55 \ FR \ 37683$ , Sept. 12, 1990, unless otherwise noted.

### § 60.40c Applicability and delegation of authority.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, the affected facility to which this subpart applies is each steam generating unit for which construction, modification, or reconstruction is commenced after June 9, 1989 and that has a maximum design heat input capacity of 29 megawatts (MW) (100 million Btu per hour (Btu/hr)) or less, but greater than or equal to 2.9 MW (10 million Btu/hr).
- (b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Clean Air Act, §60.48c(a)(4) shall be retained by the Administrator and not transferred to a State.
- (c) Steam generating units which meet the applicability requirements in paragraph (a) of this section are not subject to the sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ) or particulate matter (PM) emission limits, performance testing requirements, or monitoring requirements under this subpart ( $\S\S60.42c$ , 60.43c, 60.44c, 60.45c,

60.46c, or 60.47c) during periods of combustion research, as defined in §60.41c.

(d) Any temporary change to an existing steam generating unit for the purpose of conducting combustion research is not considered a modification under  $\S 60.14$ .

[55 FR 37683, Sept. 12, 1990, as amended at 61 FR 20736, May 8, 1996]

#### § 60.41c Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them in the Clean Air Act and in

subpart A of this part.

Annual capacity factor means the ratio between the actual heat input to a steam generating unit from an individual fuel or combination of fuels during a period of 12 consecutive calendar months and the potential heat input to the steam generating unit from all fuels had the steam ch a separate source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, or kiln) provides exhaust gas to a steam generating unit.

Coal means all solid fuels classified as anthracite, bituminous, subbituminous, or lignite by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D388-77, "Standard Specification for Classification of Coals by Rank" (incorporated by reference—see §60.17); coal refuse; and petroleum coke. Synthetic fuels derived from coal for the purpose of creating useful heat, including but not limited to solvent-refined coal, gasified coal, coal-oil mixtures, and coal-water mixtures, are included in this definition for the purposes of this subpart.

Coal refuse means any by-product of coal mining or coal cleaning operations with an ash content greater than 50 percent (by weight) and a heating value less than 13,900 kilojoules per kilogram (kJ/kg) (6,000 Btu per pound (Btu/lb) on a dry basis.

Cogeneration steam generating unit means a steam generating unit that simultaneously produces both electrical (or mechanical) and thermal energy from the same primary energy source.

Combined cycle system means a system in which a separate source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, or kiln) provides exhaust gas to a steam generating unit.

Combustion research means the experimental firing of any fuel or combination of fuels in a steam generating unit for the purpose of conducting research and development of more efficient combustion or more effective prevention or control of air pollutant emissions from combustion, provided that, these periods of research and development, the heat generated is not used for any purpose other than preheating combustion air for use by that steam generating unit (i.e., the heat generated is released to the atmosphere without being used for space heating, heating, driving pumps, preheating combustion air for other units, generating electricity, or any other purpose).

Conventional technology means wet flue gas desulfurization technology, dry flue gas desulfurization technology, atmospheric fluidized bed combustion technology, and oil hydrodesulfurization technology.

Distillate oil means fuel oil that complies with the specifications for fuel oil numbers 1 or 2, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396-78, "Standard Specification for Fuel Oils" (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17).

Dry flue gas desulfurization technology means a sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) control system that is located between the steam generating unit and the exhaust vent or stack, and that removes sulfur oxides from the combustion gases of the steam generating unit by contacting the combustion gases with an alkaline slurry or solution and forming a dry powder material. This definition includes devices where the dry powder material is subsequently converted to another form. Alkaline reagents used in dry flue gas desulfurization systems include, but are not limited to, lime and sodium compounds.

Duct burner means a device that combusts fuel and that is placed in the exhaust duct from another source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, kiln, etc.) to allow the firing of additional fuel to heat the exhaust gases before the exhaust gases enter a steam generating unit.

Emerging technology means any  $SO_2$  control system that is not defined as a conventional technology under this

section, and for which the owner or operator of the affected facility has received approval from the Administrator to operate as an emerging technology under § 60.48c(a)(4).

Federally enforceable means all limitations and conditions that are enforceable by the Administrator, including the requirements of 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61, requirements within any applicable State implementation plan, and any permit requirements established under 40 CFR 52.21 or under 40 CFR 51.18 and 40 CFR 51.24.

Fluidized bed combustion technology means a device wherein fuel is distributed onto a bed (or series of beds) of limestone aggregate (or other sorbent materials) for combustion; and these materials are forced upward in the device by the flow of combustion air and the gaseous products of combustion. Fluidized bed combustion technology includes, but is not limited to, bubbling bed units and circulating bed units.

Fuel pretreatment means a process that removes a portion of the sulfur in a fuel before combustion of the fuel in a steam generating unit.

Heat input means heat derived from combustion of fuel in a steam generating unit and does not include the heat derived from preheated combustion air, recirculated flue gases, or exhaust gases from other sources (such as stationary gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and kilns).

Heat transfer medium means any material that is used to transfer heat from one point to another point.

Maximum design heat input capacity means the ability of a steam generating unit to combust a stated maximum amount of fuel (or combination of fuels) on a steady state basis as determined by the physical design and characteristics of the steam generating unit.

Natural gas means (1) a naturally occurring mixture of hydrocarbon and nonhydrocarbon gases found in geologic formations beneath the earth's surface, of which the principal constituent is methane, or (2) liquefied petroleum (LP) gas, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D1835-86, "Standard Specification for Liquefied Petroleum

#### §60.42c

Gases'' (incorporated by reference—see §60.17).

Noncontinental area means the State of Hawaii, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the Northern Mariana Islands.

Oil means crude oil or petroleum, or a liquid fuel derived from crude oil or petroleum, including distillate oil and residual oil.

Potential sulfur dioxide emission rate means the theoretical  $SO_2$  emissions (nanograms per joule [ng/J], or pounds per million Btu [lb/million Btu] heat input) that would result from combusting fuel in an uncleaned state and without using emission control systems.

*Process heater* means a device that is primarily used to heat a material to initiate or promote a chemical reaction in which the material participates as a reactant or catalyst.

Residual oil means crude oil, fuel oil that does not comply with the specifications under the definition of distillate oil, and all fuel oil numbers 4, 5, and 6, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396-78, "Standard Specification for Fuel Oils" (incorporated by reference—see §60.17).

Steam generating unit means a device that combusts any fuel and produces steam or heats water or any other heat transfer medium. This term includes any duct burner that combusts fuel and is part of a combined cycle system. This term does not include process heaters as defined in this subpart.

Steam generating unit operating day means a 24-hour period between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight during which any fuel is combusted at any time in the steam generating unit. It is not necessary for fuel to be combusted continuously for the entire 24-hour period.

Wet flue gas desulfurization technology means an SO<sub>2</sub> control system that is located between the steam generating unit and the exhaust vent or stack, and that removes sulfur oxides from the combustion gases of the steam generating unit by contacting the combustion gases with an alkaline slurry or solution and forming a liquid material. This definition includes devices where

the liquid material is subsequently converted to another form. Alkaline reagents used in wet flue gas desulfurization systems include, but are not limited to, lime, limestone, and sodium compounds.

Wet scrubber system means any emission control device that mixes an aqueous stream or slurry with the exhaust gases from a steam generating unit to control emissions of particulate matter (PM) or SO<sub>2</sub>.

Wood means wood, wood residue, bark, or any derivative fuel or residue thereof, in any form, including but not limited to sawdust, sanderdust, wood chips, scraps, slabs, millings, shavings, and processed pellets made from wood or other forest residues.

[55 FR 37683, Sept. 12, 1990, as amended at 61 FR 20736, May 8, 1996]

#### §60.42c Standard for sulfur dioxide.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b), (c), and (e) of this section, on and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, the owner the operator of an affected facility that combusts only coal shall neither: (1) cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub> in excess of 10 percent (0.10) of the potential  $SO_2$ emission rate (90 percent reduction); nor (2) cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub> in excess of 520 ng/J (1.2 lb/million Btu) heat input. If coal is combusted with other fuels. the affected facility is subject to the 90 percent SO<sub>2</sub> reduction requirement specified in this paragraph and the emission limit is determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(b) Except as provided in paragraphs (c) and (e) of this section, on and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, the owner or operator of an affected facility that:

(1) Combusts coal refuse alone in a fluidized bed combustion steam generating unit shall neither:

(i) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$  in excess of

20 percent (0.20) of the potential  $SO_2$  emission rate (80 percent reduction); nor

- (ii) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$  in excess of 520 ng/J (1.2 lb/million Btu) heat input. If coal is fired with coal refuse, the affected facility is subject to paragraph (a) of this section. If oil or any other fuel (except coal) is fired with coal refuse, the affected facility is subject to the 90 percent  $SO_2$  reduction requirement specified in paragraph (a) of this section and the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.
- (2) Combusts only coal and that uses an emerging technology for the control of  $SO_2$  emissions shall neither:
- (i) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$  in excess of 50 percent (0.50) of the potential  $SO_2$  emission rate (50 percent reduction); nor
- (ii) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$  in excess of 260 ng/J (0.60 lb/million Btu) heat input. If coal is combusted with other fuels, the affected facility is subject to the 50 percent  $SO_2$  reduction requirement specified in this paragraph and the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.
- (c) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, alone or in combination with any other fuel, and is listed in paragraphs (c)(1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO2 in excess of the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section. Percent reduction requirements are not applicable to affected facilities under this paragraph.
- (1) Affected facilities that have a heat input capacity of 22 MW (75 million Btu/hr) or less.
- (2) Affected facilities that have an annual capacity for coal of 55 percent (0.55) or less and are subject to a Feder-

- ally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor for coal of 55 percent (0.55) or less.
- (3) Affected facilities located in a noncontinental area.
- (4) Affected facilities that combust coal in a duct burner as part of a combined cycle system where 30 percent (0.30) or less of the heat entering the steam generating unit is from combustion of coal in the duct burner and 70 percent (0.70) or more of the heat entering the steam gen erating unit is from exhaust gases entering the duct burner.
- (d) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts oil shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO<sub>2</sub> in excess of 215 ng/J (0.50 lb/million Btu) heat input; or, as an alternative, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts oil shall combust oil in the affected facility that contains greater than 0.5 weight percent sulfur. The percent reduction requirements are not applicable to affected facilities under this paragraph.
- (e) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under \$60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, oil, or coal and oil with any other fuel shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain  $SO_2$  in excess of the following:
- (1) The percent of potential  $SO_2$  emission rate required under paragraph (a) or (b)(2) of this section, as applicable, for any affected facility that
- (i) Combusts coal in combination with any other fuel,
- (ii) Has a heat input capacity greater than 22 MW (75 million Btu/hr), and
- (iii) Has an annual capacity factor for coal greater than 55 percent (0.55); and
- (2) The emission limit determined according to the following formula for any affected facility that combusts

#### §60.43c

coal, oil, or coal and oil with any other fuel:

 $E_s = (K_a H_a + K_b H_b + K_c H_c)/H_a + H_b + H_c)$ where:

- $E_s$  is the  $SO_2$  emission limit, expressed in ng/J or lb/million Btu heat input,
- $K_a$  is 520 ng/J (1.2 lb/million Btu),
- K<sub>b</sub> is 260 ng/J (0.60 lb/million Btu),
- $K_c$  is 215 ng/J (0.50 lb/million Btu),
- $H_{\rm a}$  is the heat input from the combustion of coal, except coal combusted in an affected facility subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in Joules (J) [million Btu]
- $H_{\rm b}$  is the heat input from the combustion of coal in an affected facility subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in J (million Btu)
- $H_c$  is the heat input from the combustion of oil, in J (million Btu).
- (f) Reduction in the potential  $SO_2$  emission rate through fuel pretreatment is not credited toward the percent reduction requirement under paragraph (b)(2) of this section unless:
- (1) Fuel pretreatment results in a 50 percent (0.50) or greater reduction in the potential  $SO_2$  emission rate; and
- (2) Emissions from the pretreated fuel (without either combustion or post-combustion  $SO_2$  control) are equal to or less than the emission limits specified under paragraph (b)(2) of this section.
- (g) Except as provided in paragraph (h) of this section, compliance with the percent reduction requirements, fuel oil sulfur limits, and emission limits of this section shall be determined on a 30-day rolling average basis.
- (h) For affected facilities listed under paragraphs (h)(1), (2), or (3) of this section, compliance with the emission limits or fuel oil sulfur limits under this section may be determined based on a certification from the fuel supplier, as described under \$60.48c(f)(1), (2), or (3), as applicable.
- (1) Distillate oil-fired affected facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 29 MW (10 and 100 million Btu/hr).
- (2) Residual oil-fired affected facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 and 30 million Btu/hr).
- (3) Coal-fired facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 and 30 million Btu/hr).

- (i) The  $SO_2$  emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, and percent reduction requirements under this section apply at all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.
- (j) Only the heat input supplied to the affected facility from the combustion of coal and oil is counted under this section. No credit is provided for the heat input to the affected facility from wood or other fuels or for heat derived from exhaust gases from other sources, such as stationary gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and kilns.

### § 60.43c Standard for particulate matter.

- (a) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal or combusts mixtures of coal with other fuels and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 million Btu/hr) or greater, shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of the following emission limits:
- (1) 22 ng/J (0.05 lb/million Btu) heat input if the affected facility combusts only coal, or combusts coal with other fuels and has an annual capacity factor for the other fuels of 10 percent (0.10) or less.
- (2) 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/million Btu) heat imput if the affected facility combusts coal with other fuels, has an annual capacity factor for the other fuels greater than 10 percent (0.10), and is subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor greater than 10 percent (0.10) for fuels other than coal.
- (b) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts wood or combusts mixtures of wood with other fuels (except coal) and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 million Btu/hr) or greater, shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in

excess of the following emissions limits:

(1) 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/million Btu) heat input if the affected facility has an annual capacity factor for wood greater than 30 percent (0.30); or

(2) 130 ng/J (0.30 lb/million Btu) heat input if the affected facility has an annual capacity factor for wood of 30 percent (0.30) or less and is subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor for wood of 30 percent (0.30) or less.

- (c) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under \$60.8 of this part, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, wood, or oil and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 million Btu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that exhibit greater than 20 percent opacity (6-minute average), except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent opacity.
- (d) The PM and opacity standards under this section apply at all times, except during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction.

#### § 60.44c Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for sulfur dioxide.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section and in  $\S60.8(b)$ , performance tests required under  $\S60.8$  shall be conducted following the procedures specified in paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section, as applicable. Section 60.8(f) does not apply to this section. The 30-day notice required in  $\S60.8(d)$  applies only to the initial performance test unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.
- (b) The initial performance test required under  $\S60.8$  shall be conducted over 30 consecutive operating days of the steam generating unit. Compliance with the percent reduction requirements and  $SO_2$  emission limits under  $\S60.42c$  shall be determined using a 30-day average. The first operating day included in the initial performance test shall be scheduled within 30 days after

achieving the maximum production rate at which the affect facility will be operated, but not later than 180 days after the initial startup of the facility. The steam generating unit load during the 30-day period does not have to be the maximum design heat input capacity, but must be representative of future operating conditions.

- (c) After the initial performance test required under paragraph (b) and  $\S60.8$ , compliance with the percent reduction requirements and SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits under  $\S60.42c$  is based on the average percent reduction and the average SO<sub>2</sub> emission rates for 30 consecutive steam generating unit operating days. A separate performance test is completed at the end of each steam generating unit operating day, and a new 30-day average percent reduction and SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate are calculated to show compliance with the standard.
- (d) If only coal, only oil, or a mixture of coal and oil is combusted in an affected facility, the procedures in Method 19 are used to determine the hourly  $SO_2$  emission rate ( $E_{ho}$ ) and the 30-day average  $SO_2$  emission rate ( $E_{ao}$ ). The hourly averages used to compute the 30-day averages are obtained from the continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS). Method 19 shall be used to calculate  $E_{ao}$  when using daily fuel sampling or Method 6B.
- (e) If coal, oil, or coal and oil are combusted with other fuels:
- (1) An adjusted  $E_{ho}$  ( $E_{ho}$ o) is used in Equation 19–19 of Method 19 to compute the adjusted  $E_{ao}$  ( $E_{ao}$ o). The  $E_{ho}$ o is computed using the following formula:

 $E_{ho}o=[E_{ho}-E_{w}(1-X_{k})]/X_{k}$ 

where:

 $E_{ho}o$  is the adjusted  $E_{ho},\ ng/J$  (lb/million Btu)

 $E_{ho}$  is the hourly  $SO_2$  emission rate, ng/J (lb/million Btu)

 $E_{\rm w}$  is the  $SO_2$  concentration in fuels other than coal and oil combusted in the affected facility, as determined by fuel sampling and analysis procedures in Method 9, ng/J (lb/million Btu). The value  $E_{\rm w}$  for each fuel lot is used for each hourly average during the time that the lot is being combusted. The owner or operator does not have to measure  $E_{\rm w}$  if the owner or operator elects to assume  $E_{\rm w}$ =0.

 $X_k$  is the fraction of the total heat input from fuel combustion derived from coal and oil, as determined by applicable pro-

cedures in Method 19.

#### §60.44c

- (2) The owner or operator of an affected facility that qualifies under the provisions of \$60.42c(c) or (d) [where percent reduction is not required] does not have to measure the parameters  $E_w$  or  $X_k$  if the owner or operator of the affected facility elects to measure emission rates of the coal or oil using the fuel sampling and analysis procedures under Method 19.
- (f) Affected facilities subject to the percent reduction requirements under  $\S 60.42c(a)$  or (b) shall determine compliance with the  $SO_2$  emission limits under  $\S 60.42c$  pursuant to paragraphs (d) or (e) of this section, and shall determine compliance with the percent reduction requirements using the following procedures:
- (1) If only coal is combusted, the percent of potential  $SO_2$  emission rate is computed using the following formula:

 $\%P_s{=}100(1{\,-\,}\%R_g/100)\,(1{\,-\,}\%R_f/100)$  where

 $%P_{s}$  is the percent of potential  $SO_{2}$  emission rate, in percent

 $\%R_{\rm g}\,$  is the  $\dot{SO}_2$  removal efficiency of the control device as determined by Method 19, in percent

 $\%R_f$  is the  $SO_2$  removal efficiency of fuel pretreatment as determined by Method 19, in percent

- (2) If coal, oil, or coal and oil are combusted with other fuels, the same procedures required in paragraph (f)(1) of this section are used, except as provided for in the following:
- (i) To compute the  $\ensuremath{\%P_s}$ , an adjusted  $\ensuremath{\%R_g}$  ( $\ensuremath{\%R_go}$ ) is computed from  $E_{ao}$ 0 from paragraph (e)(1) of this section and an adjusted average  $SO_2$  inlet rate ( $E_{ai}$ 0) using the following formula:

 $R_{g}o{=}100\ [1.0-\ E_{ao}o/E_{ai}o)]$  where:

 $\%R_go~$  is the adjusted  $\%R_g,$  in percent  $E_{ao}o~$  is the adjusted  $E_{ao},~ng/J$  (lb/million

Btu)  $E_{ai}o \quad is \quad the \quad adjusted \quad average \quad SO_2 \quad inlet$ 

rate, ng/J (lb/million Btu) (ii) To compute  $E_{ai}$ o, an adjusted hourly  $SO_2$  inlet rate ( $E_{hi}$ o) is used. The  $E_{hi}$ o is computed using the following

 $E_{hi}o=[E_{hi}-E_{w}(1-X_{k})]/X_{k}$ 

formula:

 $E_{hi}o$  is the adjusted  $E_{hi},\ ng/J$  (lb/million Btu)

 $E_{hi}$  is the hourly  $SO_2$  inlet rate, ng/J (lb/million Btu)

- $E_{\rm w}$  is the  $SO_2$  concentration in fuels other than coal and oil combusted in the affected facility, as determined by fuel sampling and analysis procedures in Method 19, ng/J (lb/million Btu). The value  $E_{\rm w}$  for each fuel lot is used for each hourly average during the time that the lot is being combusted. The owner or operator does not have to measure  $E_{\rm w}$  if the owner or operator elects to assume  $E_{\rm w}$  = 0.
- $X_k$  is the fraction of the total heat input from fuel combustion derived from coal and oil, as determined by applicable procedures in Method 19.
- (g) For oil-fired affected facilities where the owner or operator seeks to demonstrate compliance with the fuel oil sulfur limits under §60.42c based on shipment fuel sampling, the initial performance test shall consist of sampling and analyzing the oil in the initial tank of oil to be fired in the steam generating unit to demonstrate that the oil contains 0.5 weight percent sulfur or less. Thereafter, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall sample the oil in the fuel tank after each new shipment of oil is received, as described under §60.46c(d)(2).
- (h) For affected facilities subject to \$60.42c(h)(1), (2), or (3) where the owner or operator seeks to demonstrate compliance with the  $SO_2$  standards based on fuel supplier certification, the performance test shall consist of the certification, the certification from the fuel supplier, as described under \$60.48c(f)(1), (2), or (3), as applicable.
- (i) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance with the  $SO_2$  standards under §60.42c(c)(2) shall demonstrate the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit by operating the steam generating unit at this capacity for 24 hours. This demonstration shall be made during the initial performance test, and a subsequent demonstration may be requested at any other time. If the demonstrated 24-hour averaged firing rate for the affected facility is less than the maximum design heat input capacity stated by the manufacturer of the affected facility, the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate shall be used to determine the annual capacity factor for the

affected facility; otherwise, the maximum design heat input capacity provided by the manufacturer shall be used.

(j) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall use all valid  $SO_2$  emissions data in calculating  ${}^{\circ}\!\!\!/ P_s$  and  $E_{ho}$  under paragraphs (d), (e), or (f) of this section, as applicable, whether or not the minimum emissions data requirements under  ${}^{\circ}\!\!\!/ 60.46c(f)$  are achieved. All valid emissions data, including valid data collected during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, shall be used in calculating  ${}^{\circ}\!\!\!/ P_s$  or  $E_{ho}$  pursuant to paragraphs (d), (e), or (f) of this section, as applicable.

#### § 60.45c Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for particulate matter.

- (a) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the PM and/or opacity standards under §60.43c shall conduct an initial performance test as required under §60.8, and shall conduct subsequent performance tests as requested by the Administrator, to determine compliance with the standards using the following procedures and reference methods.
- (1) Method 1 shall be used to select the sampling site and the number of traverse sampling points. The sampling time for each run shall be at least 120 minutes and the minimum sampling volume shall be 1.7 dry square cubic meters (dscm) [60 dry square cubic feet (dscf)] except that smaller sampling times or volumes may be approved by the Administrator when necessitated by process variables or other factors.
- (2) Method 3 shall be used for gas analysis when applying Method 5, Method 5B, of Method 17.
- (3) Method 5, Method 5B, or Method 17 shall be used to measure the concentration of PM as follows:
- (i) Method 5 may be used only at affected facilities without wet scrubber systems.
- (ii) Method 17 may be used at affected facilities with or without wet scrubber systems provided the stack gas temperature does not exceed a temperature of 160 °C (320 °F). The procedures of Sections 2.1 and 2.3 of Method 5B may be used in Method 17 only if Method 17 is used in conjuction with a wet scrub-

ber system. Method 17 shall not be used in conjuction with a wet scrubber system if the effluent is saturated or laden with water droplets.

- (iii) Method 5B may be used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system.
- (4) For Method 5 or Method 5B, the temperature of the sample gas in the probe and filter holder shall be monitored and maintained at 160 °C (320 °F).
- (5) For determination of PM emissions, an oxygen or carbon dioxide measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each run of Method 5, Method 5B, or Method 17 by traversing the duct at the same sampling location.
- (6) For each run using Method 5, Method 5B, or Method 17, the emission rates expressed in ng/J (lb/million Btu) heat input shall be determined using:
- (i) The oxygen or carbon dioxide measurements and PM measurements obtained under this section,
  - (ii) The dry basis F-factor, and
- (iii) The dry basis emission rate calculation procedure contained in Method 19 (appendix A).
- (7) Method 9 (6-minute average of 24 observations) shall be used for determining the opacity of stack emissions.
- (b) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance with the PM standards under §60.43c(b)(2) shall demonstrate the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit by operating the steam generating unit at this capacity for 24 hours. This demonstration shall be made during the initial performance test, and a subsequent demonstration may be requested at any other time. If the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate for the affected facility is less than the maximum design heat input capacity stated by the manufacturer of the affected facility, the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate shall be used to determine the annual capacity factor for the affected facility; otherwise, the maximum design heat input capacity provided by the manufacturer shall be used.

## §60.46c Emission monitoring for sulfur dioxide

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the owner or

#### §60.46c

operator of an affected facility subject to the SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits under §60.42c shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS for measuring  $SO_2$  concentrations and either oxygen or carbon dioxide concentrations at the outlet of the SO<sub>2</sub> control device (or the outlet of the steam generating unit if no SO<sub>2</sub> control device is used), and shall record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall measure SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and either oxygen or carbon dioxide concentrations at both the inlet and outlet of the SO<sub>2</sub> control device.

- (b) The 1-hour average  $SO_2$  emission rates measured by a CEM shall be expressed in ng/J or lb/million Btu heat input and shall be used to calculate the average emission rates under  $\S 60.42c$ . Each 1-hour average  $SO_2$  emission rate must be based on at least 30 minutes of operation and include at least 2 data points representing two 15-minute periods. Hourly  $SO_2$  emission rates are not calculated if the affected facility is operated less than 30 minutes in a 1-hour period and are not counted toward determination of a steam generating unit operating day.
- (c) The procedures under §60.13 shall be followed for installation, evaluation, and operation of the CEMS.
- (1) All CEMS shall be operated in accordance with the applicable procedures under Performance Specifications 1, 2, and 3 (appendix B).
- (2) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with Procedure 1 (appendix F).
- (3) For affected facilities subject to the percent reduction requirements under  $\S60.42c$ , the span value of the  $SO_2$  CEMS at the inlet to the  $SO_2$  control device shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2$  emission rate of the fuel combusted, and the span value of the  $SO_2$  CEMS at the outlet from the  $SO_2$  control device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2$  emission rate of the fuel combusted.
- (4) For affected facilities that are not subject to the percent reduction requirements of  $\S 60.42c$ , the span value of the SO<sub>2</sub> CEMS at the outlet from the

 $SO_2$  control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no  $SO_2$  control device is used) shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential  $SO_2$  emission rate of the fuel combusted.

- (d) As an alternative to operating a CEMS at the inlet to the SO<sub>2</sub> control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no  $SO_2$  control device is used) as required under paragraph (a) of this section, an owner or operator may elect to determine the average SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate by sampling the fuel prior to combustion. As an alternative to operating a CEM at the outlet from the SO<sub>2</sub> control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no SO<sub>2</sub> control device is used) as required under paragraph (a) of this section, an owner or operator may elect to determine the average SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate by using Method 6B. Fuel sampling shall be conducted pursuant to either paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section. Method 6B shall be conducted pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of this section.
- (1) For affected facilities combusting coal or oil, coal or oil samples shall be collected daily in an as-fired condition at the inlet to the steam generating unit and analyzed for sulfur content and heat content according the Method 19. Method 19 provides procedures for converting these measurements into the format to be used in calculating the average  $SO_2$  input rate.
- (2) As an alternative fuel sampling procedure for affected facilities combusting oil, oil samples may be collected from the fuel tank for each steam generating unit immediately after the fule tank is filled and before any oil is combusted. The owner or operator of the affected facility shall analyze the oil sample to determine the sulfur content of the oil. If a partially empty fuel tank is refilled, a new sample and analysis of the fuel in the tank would be required upon filling. Results of the fuel analysis taken after each new shipment of oil is received shall be used as the daily value when calculating the 30-day rolling average until the next shipment is received. If the fuel analysis shows that the sulfur content in the fuel tank is greater than 0.5 weight percent sulfur, the owner or operator shall ensure that the sulfur

content of subsequent oil shipments is low enough to cause the 30-day rolling average sulfur content to be 0.5 weight percent sulfur or less.

- (3) Method 6B may be used in lieu of CEMS to measure SO<sub>2</sub> at the inlet or outlet of the SO<sub>2</sub> control system. An initial stratification test is required to verify the adequacy of the Method 6B sampling location. The stratification test shall consist of three paired runs of a suitable SO<sub>2</sub> and carbon dioxide measurement train operated at the candidate location and a second similar train operated according to the procedures in §3.2 and the applicable procedures in section 7 of Performance Specification 2 (appendix B). Method 6B, Method 6A, or a combination of Methods 6 and 3 or Methods 6C and 3A are suitable measurement techniques. If Method 6B is used for the second train, sampling time and timer operation may be adjusted for the stratification test as long as an adequate sample volume is collected; however, both sampling trains are to be operated similarly. For the location to be adequate for Method 6B 24-hour tests, the mean of the absolute difference between the three paired runs must be less than 10 percent (0.10).
- (e) The monitoring requirements of paragraphs (a) and (d) of this section shall not apply to affected facilities subject to  $\S60.42c(h)$  (1), (2), or (3) where the owner or operator of the affected facility seeks to demonstrate compliance with the SO<sub>2</sub> standards based on fuel supplier certification, as described under  $\S60.48c(f)$  (1), (2), or (3), as applicable.
- (f) The owner or operator of an affected facility operating a CEMS pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, or conducting as-fired fuel sampling pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section, shall obtain emission data for at least 75 percent of the operating hours in at least 22 out of 30 successive steam generating unit operating days. If this minimum data requirement is not met with a single monitoring system, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall supplement the emission data with data collected with other monitoring systems as approved by the Administrator.

### § 60.47c Emission monitoring for particulate matter.

- (a) The owner or operator of an affected facility combusting coal, residual oil, or wood that is subject to the opacity standards under §60.43c shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS for measuring the opacity of the emissions discharged to the atmosphere and record the output of the system.
- (b) All CEMS for measuring opacity shall be operated in accordance with the applicable procedures under Performance Specification 1 (appendix B). The span value of the opacity CEMS shall be between 60 and 80 percent.

## § 60.48c Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

- (a) The owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit notification of the date of construction or reconstruction, anticipated startup, and actual startup, as provided by §60.7 of this part. This notification shall include:
- (1) The design heat input capacity of the affected facility and identification of fuels to be combusted in the affected facility.
- (2) If applicable, a copy of any Federally enforceable requirement that limits the annual capacity factor for any fuel or mixture of fuels under §60.42c, or §60.43c.
- (3) The annual capacity factor at which the owner or operator anticipates operating the affected facility based on all fuels fired and based on each individual fuel fired.
- (4) Notification if an emerging technology will be used for controlling  $SO_2$  emissions. The Administrator will examine the description of the control device and will determine whether the technology qualifies as an emerging technology. In making this determination, the Administrator may require the owner or operator of the affected facility to submit additional information concerning the control device. The affected facility is subject to the provisions of  $\S 60.42c(a)$  or (b)(1), unless and until this determination is made by the Administrator.
- (b) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the  $SO_2$  emission limits of \$60.42c, or the PM or

#### §60.48c

opacity limits of §60.43c, shall submit to the Administrator the performance test data from the initial and any subsequent performance tests and, if applicable, the performance evaluation of the CEMS using the applicable performance specifications in appendix B.

- (c) The owner or operator of each coal-fired, residual oil-fired, or wood-fired affected facility subject to the opacity limits under §60.43c(c) shall submit excess emission reports for any excess emissions from the affected facility which occur during the reporting period.
- (d) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the  $SO_2$  emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, or percent reduction requirements under  $\S 60.42c$  shall submit reports to the Administrator.
- (e) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the  $SO_2$  emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, or percent reduction requirements under  $\S 60.43c$  shall keep records and submit reports as required under paragraph (d) of this section, including the following information, as applicable.

(1) Calendar dates covered in the reporting period.

- (2) Each 30-day average  $SO_2$  emission rate (nj/J or lb/million Btu), or 30-day average sulfur content (weight percent), calculated during the reporting period, ending with the last 30-day period; reasons for any noncompliance with the emission standards; and a description of corrective actions taken.
- (3) Each 30-day average percent of potential  $SO_2$  emission rate calculated during the reporting period, ending with the last 30-day period; reasons for any noncompliance with the emission standards; and a description of the corrective actions taken.
- (4) Identification of any steam generating unit operating days for which SO<sub>2</sub> or diluent (oxygen or carbon dioxide) data have not been obtained by an approved method for at least 75 percent of the operating hours; justification for not obtaining sufficient data; and a description of corrective actions taken.
- (5) Identification of any times when emissions data have been excluded from the calculation of average emission rates; justification for excluding data; and a description of corrective

actions taken if data have been excluded for periods other than those during which coal or oil were not combusted in the steam generating unit.

- (6) Identification of the F factor used in calculations, method of determination, and type of fuel combusted.
- (7) Identification of whether averages have been obtained based on CEMS rather than manual sampling methods.
- (8) If a CEMS is used, identification of any times when the pollutant concentration exceeded the full span of the CEMS.
- (9) If a CEMS is used, description of any modifications to the CEMS that could affect the ability of the CEMS to comply with Performance Specifications 2 or 3 (appendix B).
- (10) If a CEMS is used, results of daily CEMS drift tests and quarterly accuracy assessments as required under appendix F, Procedure 1.
- (11) If fuel supplier certification is used to demonstrate compliance, records of fuel supplier certification is used to demonstrate compliance, records of fuel supplier certification as described under paragraph (f)(1), (2), or (3) of this section, as applicable. In addition to records of fuel supplier certifications, the report shall include a certified statement signed by the owner or operator of the affected facility that the records of fuel supplier certifications submitted represent all of the fuel combusted during the reporting period.
- (f) Fuel supplier certification shall include the following information:
- (1) For distillate oil:
- (i) The name of the oil supplier; and(ii) A statement from the oil supplierthat the oil complies with the speci-
- that the oil complies with the specifications under the definition of distillate oil in §60.41c.
  - (2) For residual oil:
  - (i) The name of the oil supplier;
- (ii) The location of the oil when the sample was drawn for analysis to determine the sulfur content of the oil, specifically including whether the oil was sampled as delivered to the affected facility, or whether the sample was drawn from oil in storage at the oil supplier's or oil refiner's facility, or other location;

#### **Environmental Protection Agency**

- (iii) The sulfur content of the oil from which the shipment came (or of the shipment itself); and
- (iv) The method used to determine the sulfur content of the oil.
  - (3) For coal:
  - (i) The name of the coal supplier;
- (ii) The location of the coal when the sample was collected for analysis to determine the properties of the coal, specifically including whether the coal was sampled as delivered to the affected facility or whether the sample was collected from coal in storage at the mine, at a coal preparation plant, at a coal supplier's facility, or at another location. The certification shall include the name of the coal mine (and coal seam), coal storage facility, or coal preparation plant (where the sample was collected);
- (iii) The results of the analysis of the coal from which the shipment came (or of the shipment itself) including the sulfur content, moisture content, ash content, and heat content; and
- (iv) The methods used to determine the properties of the coal.
- (g) The owner or operator of each affected facility shall record and maintain records of the amounts of each fuel combusted during each day.
- (h) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to a Federally enforceable requirement limiting the annual capacity factor for any fuel or mixture of fuels under \$60.42c or \$60.43c shall calculate the annual capacity factor individually for each fuel combusted. The annual capacity factor is determined on a 12-month rolling average basis with a new annual capacity factor calculated at the end of the calendar month.
- (i) All records required under this section shall be maintained by the owner or operator of the affected facility for a period of two years following the date of such record.
- (j) The reporting period for the reports required under this subpart is each six-month period. All reports shall be submitted to the Administrator and shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of the reporting period.

[55 FR 37683, Sept. 12, 1990, as amended at 64 FR 7465, Feb. 12, 1999]

#### Subpart E—Standards of Performance for Incinerators

## $\,\S\,60.50\,$ Applicability and designation of affected facility.

- (a) The provisions of this subpart are applicable to each incinerator of more than 45 metric tons per day charging rate (50 tons/day), which is the affected facility.
- (b) Åny facility under paragraph (a) of this section that commences construction or modification after August 17, 1971, is subject to the requirements of this subpart.

[42 FR 37936, July 25, 1977]

#### § 60.51 Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them in the Act and in subpart A of this part.

- (a) *Incinerator* means any furnace used in the process of burning solid waste for the purpose of reducing the volume of the waste by removing combustible matter.
- (b) *Solid waste* means refuse, more than 50 percent of which is municipal type waste consisting of a mixture of paper, wood, yard wastes, food wastes, plastics, leather, rubber, and other combustibles, and noncombustible materials such as glass and rock.
  - (c) Day means 24 hours.

[36 FR 24877, Dec. 23, 1971, as amended at 39 FR 20792, June 14, 1974]

### $\S 60.52$ Standard for particulate matter.

(a) On and after the date on which the performance test required to be conducted by §60.8 is completed, no owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from any affected facility any gases which contain particulate matter in excess of 0.18 g/dscm (0.08 gr/dscf) corrected to 12 percent CO<sub>2</sub>.

[39 FR 20792, June 14, 1974]

#### $\S 60.53$ Monitoring of operations.

(a) The owner or operator of any incinerator subject to the provisions of this part shall record the daily charging rates and hours of operation.